UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/637,213 08/07/2003		Alex Alden Peterson	STJUDE 3.0-006 CON II CON	4084
	7590 12/15/2009 R, DAVID, LITTENBERG,	9	EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ &	& MENTLIK		YABUT, DIANE D	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/637,213	PETERSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

DIA	ANE YABUT	3734						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 November 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following repli application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR periods:	ies: (1) an amendment, affidavit with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the billion of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviss no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). Of MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	ory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing DNLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	n. .ED WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within AMENDMENTS	n thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but proposed and after a final rejection, but proposed after a final rejection, but proposed after a final rejection, but proposed amendment (so a NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding a corresponding and a corresponding and according a corresponding according a corresponding and according a corresponding according according a corresponding according a corr	eration and/or search (see NOT orm for appeal by materially red	E below); lucing or simplifying th						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. So. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.	able if submitted in a separate, ti will not be entered, or b) ⊠ will	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 83-87, 89-99. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suf was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Not entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overce showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and	come <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowand	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTC13. ☐ Other:	J/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Todd E Manahan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant arguments filed 11/16/2009 have been considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant generally argues that none of the prior art of record disclose "elastically deflectable" or "resiliently biased" first and second members. However, Yencho recognizes the use of suitable materials such as nitinol, which is well known in the art as a shape memory alloy is elastically deflectable or resiliently biased. It also has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use.

Applicant also argues that Yencho does not disclose that each of the closed shapes are connected to a next adjacent closed shape in the row along only a central portion of a length of a side of the shape that is transverse to the annular direction. The examiner asserts that in the annotated Figure 21 that each of the triangular closed shapes in a row have two sides that are tranverse to the annular direction, and that adjacent closed shapes are connected to each other along only a central portion of a length of these sides. The other two sides of each closed shape triangle that isn't directly adjacent to another triangle are extensions or continuations of the end portions of the length of the side which are annularly spaced from the next triangle, and therefore read on this limitation.